

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/662,339	Applicant(s) KUMAGAI ET AL.	
	Examiner Rudy Zervigon	Art Unit 1763	

All Participants:

(1) Rudy Zervigon.

(2) Cheng Wa (202.721.8200).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 February 2006

Time: 14:00

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

All pending

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

I called to inquire on the disposition of the case. Mrs. Wa called me back and left a message. The Examiner called back and spoke to Mrs. Wa who told the Examiner that a continuation of the present application will be filed today.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)